

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/599,020	09/18/2006	Frederic Ben	58767.000017	3183	
21567 7550 01202009 HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			LISTVOYB, GREGORY		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/30/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/599.020 BEN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit GREGORY LISTVOYB 1796 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 November 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 16-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-32 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 16-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SZ/UE)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/599,020 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 16-27 in the reply filed on 11/12/2008 is acknowledged.

Claims 28-32 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected method for ring-opening lactide and glycolide (co)oligomerization, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11/12/2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16, 19-23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gruber et al (US 6355772).

Gruber teaches a catalytic system, which contains a strongly acidic ion-exchange polymeric catalyst Amberlist 36 (see Example 8, Column 20, line 55, meeting the relevant limitations of claims 16 and 19-21).

Application/Control Number: 10/599,020

Art Unit: 1796

In addition, Gruber teaches an alcohol as a part of reaction mixture (see Column 15, line 5), which used for molecular weight control, meeting the relevant limitations of claims 22-26.

Gruber does not teach both components in one catalytic system.

The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp., 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945), 325 U.S. at 335, 65 USPQ at 301, see also also In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960), Ryco, Inc. v. Ag-Bag Corp., 857 F.2d 1418, 8 USPQ2d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and MPEP 2144.07.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to use Amberlist 36 and alcohol together in one catalytic system. The above compounds fulfill different functions of catalyzing polymerization and regulating its molecular weight.

Claims 17-18 and 24-27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gruber et al in view of Bowman (US 3047524).

Gruber teaches a catalytic system, which contains a strongly acidic ion-exchange polymeric catalyst Amberlist 36 (see Example 8, Column 20, line 55, meeting the relevant limitations of claims 16 and 19-21).

Application/Control Number: 10/599,020

Art Unit: 1796

In addition, Gruber teaches an alcohol as a part of reaction mixture (see Column 15, line 5), which used for molecular weight control, meeting the relevant limitations of claims 22-26.

Gruber does not teach the nature and amount of alcohol used.

Bowman teaches a polymerization of glycolic acid, which is analogous to polymerization of lactic acid disclosed by Gruber.

Bowman teaches an addition of 1-5 mol percent (see Column 2, line 10) of a monohydric aliphatic alcohol, such as methanol, ethanol or hexanol (see Column 1, line 40) or, in general, aliphatic alcohol containing 1-6 carbon atoms (see Column 1, line 40) in order to produce a polymer with desired properties.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to add 1-5 mol percent of aliphatic alcohol containing 1-6 carbon atoms to Gruber's system in order to obtain a polymer with desired properties (i.e. required molecular weight).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREGORY LISTVOYB whose telephone number is (571)272-6105. The examiner can normally be reached on 10am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone Application/Control Number: 10/599,020 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rabon Sergent/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

GL